

# MINUTES OF THE CALNE WITHOUT PARISH COUNCIL

## LANSDOWNE HALL, DERRY HILL MONDAY 3 APRIL 2017 19.30

### 1. COUNCILLOR ATTENDANCE

Present (P): Apologies Received (A): Did Not Attend (X)

Richard Aylen	A	Sue Baker	A	Colin Bell	P
Nigel Cole	A	Jim Cook	P	Christine Crisp	P
Richard French	P	Rob Hislop	P	Ed Jones (Chair)	P
Lord Lansdowne	P	Matt Maddicks	P	Kate Morley	A
Charlie Oram	P	Adrian Satchell	P	Barry Satchell	P
Brian Vines	P				

### 2. PUBLIC SPEAKING

- .1** Representation from Mr Jenkinson, Principal Planner Foresight Group who are managing Solar Farm High Penn. Looking to submit further planning application to increase size. Wished to clarify current community contribution breakdown. Councillor Jones explained council had funding of £40K from Sun Edison, we have a sub group and TOR for community projects. Councillor Maddicks explained the spread of projects approved and in progress. Send copy of TOR to Mr Jenkinson.

Clerk

If further developments to 40 years then council could expect 15/25 of original contribution.

#### **.2 Blounts**

There were three public speakers, Keith Robbins, Angie Cleverly, Richard Fitter. Full detail has been provided or produced at the end of these minutes Lord Lansdowne advised he now had no involvement in the development. He welcomed Richard Fitter comments, thought the layout was not that bad but house types could be improved.

Councillor Cook commented on the 106 which provided detailed plans for the crossing, but thought there appeared little flexibility for movement. He thought due to the wording there could be a get out clause and that council should be adamant in ensuring that the crossing must be built.

Councillor Crisp viewed the 106 agreement as explicit, Crest were quite sure and unequivocal that the crossing would happen.

There was discussion on the ability for get out clause after development had started if the Police say the speed limit can not be reduced. It was felt by council that if the crossing did not go ahead then the development could not go forward. There was discussion on timing of the Police review and safety audit which council might wish to ensure get action prior to development.

Councillor Jones asked if we could have copy of the speakers concerns. The response date had been agreed with Wiltshire Council as the 12<sup>th</sup> May. Councillor Jones asked council if they wished to decide response now or the next meeting. It was agreed unanimously that council would agree response at the next meeting 8<sup>th</sup> May.

### **PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE**

Email from Mrs J Robbins on Blounts application, copied to all Councillors

### 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest

### 4. CHAIRMAN ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no formal Chairman announcements.

Councillor Jones reminded councillors about nomination papers required by 4<sup>th</sup> April, he updated that Councillor Morley would be standing down. It was proposed by Lord Lansdowne that council formally thank her for all her time and Councillor Jones as Chair would also send a personal letter of thanks. Agreed Unanimously. Clerk

**5. PREVIOUS MEETING**

Minutes of meeting 6<sup>th</sup> March 2017 were Proposed accepted Councillor French, Seconded Councillor Vines.  
Proposal agreed unanimously and minutes signed.

**6. ACTIONS BROUGHT FORWARD**

Actions from the previous meeting were reviewed; the following positions noted:

**Waste Bins**

Remaining bin to be installed Aylen

**Stockley Telephone Box**

BT signed adoption contract received, insurance organised, added to council assets

**14/09744/WCM Lower Compton Waste Management**

Thank you letter sent to Peter Alberry

**17/00679/OUT Land North of Low Lane**

Response sent to Planning

**Derry Hill Road Closure**

Guidelines from SLCC ascertained Aylen

Proposal for Council

**Derry Hill Sports Sessions**

Statement of interest sent by Councillor French

Outside of the meeting Councillor French had been advised that they wish to use the school and the hall for the summer sessions and will confirm in due course.

**7. ACCOUNTS**

**.1 Balance & Expenditure Statement**

Balance and Expenditure Statement presented.

Proposed acceptance Councillor Vines, seconded Councillor BSatchell.

Agreed unanimously.

**.2 Cheques for Payment**

The Clerk explained that three previously agreed donations had requested their payments, since the sending of the meeting agenda.

Cheques for Payment presented.

634	Kingston House Mini Bus Fund	3000.00
-----	------------------------------	---------

635	Lansdowne Hall	1500.00
-----	----------------	---------

636	1 <sup>st</sup> Derry Hill Scouts	1065.22
-----	-----------------------------------	---------

**Kingston House Mini Bus Fund**

Payment Proposed Accepted Lord Lansdowne, Seconded Councillor Jones, Agreed Unanimously

**Lansdowne Hall**

Councillor Jones, Lord Lansdowne, Councillor Bell, and French identified personal interest.

Payment Proposed Accepted Councillor Oram, Seconded Councillor Maddicks, Agreed Unanimously

**1<sup>st</sup> Derry Hill Scouts**

The Clerk explained that the Sub Group had discussed with Derry Hills Scouts donation for repair to roof and remedials.

When discussed in Council this had been documented and agreed as repair to roof, which had already been paid.

Councillor Bell declared personal interest.

It was Proposed by Councillor Cook, Seconded Councillor Oram

that council donate 50% of the water damage remedials namely 1065.22 Agreed Unanimously.  
Function: Community Centre; Powers and Duties: to provide and equip buildings for use of clubs having athletics, social recreational objective; Statutory Provision: Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions Act 1976 s.19x)  
Payment Proposed Accepted Lord Lansdowne, Seconded Councillor Jones, Agreed Unanimously

**8. PLANNING**

17/00154/FUL Two ten metre chimneys in association with on-site biomass boilers within approved building Clerk  
Councillor Oram raised the concerns raised by Councillor Morley concerning this application. Councillor Crisp advised that Alan Hill had asked for this application to be called in. It was agreed after discussion that we should advise our concern on lack of information, visibility, environmental impact and possible omission from two chimneys and additional vehicle access.

**9. SUN EDISON PROJECT SUB GROUP**

Councillor Maddicks updated council on sub group recommendations.  
**Lansdowne Hall – Meeting Room extension**  
We discussed the new request for funding and on review of the previous scoring matrix there was consensus that the original reasons for refusal were still in place. It was decided to propose to the CWPC that we do not to support this request.

**Stockley Defibrillator**

The sub group discussed and reviewed the request from Stockley residents for a defibrillator to be situated in the now adopted BT phone box. As a small community its potential to raise funds for the purchase of the defibrillator are limited and they have approached the Heddington Amenities Committee to support on going annual costs of £140; their response is awaited. In the event that funding for the annual costs is unsuccessful, personal contributions from the villagers will be sought; from initial discussions with villagers the sub group were confident that this would be forthcoming.

The sub group proposed supporting the application for this potentially life-saving equipment on its original terms for full payment of the purchase and installation price totalling £2,245 plus VAT. Support with this initiative would also demonstrate how CWPC are keen to support projects across the Calne Without Parish. Council considered that the defibrillator group should also try and raise contribution for initial costs by match funding rather than being met fully by council.

Lord Lansdowne proposed that 50% from Sun Edison fund match funded for initial purchase with no on going maintenance.

All sub group members abstained voting, proposal agreed unanimously by remaining councillors.

Councillor Bell agreed to enquire on contact process for Heddington Amenities Committee.

Bell

**10. CALNE COMMUNITY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN**

Councillor Jones advised there was no further progress to report and proposed and it was agreed that this item be removed from the agenda until response from Wiltshire Council.

**11. OTHER ITEMS RAISED  
Highway Maintenance**

Councillor wished to thank highways for avoiding the daffodils when they cut the grass at the A342. Clerk

Meeting closed: 21.00  
Next Meeting: 8<sup>th</sup> May 2017

---

### **Keith Robbins (from recording)**

Asks parish council to extend the length of the current consultation period.

Condition 14 details links to Derry Hill via toucan crossing to be submitted prior to commencement on site.

Condition 20 requires a two metre footway and controlled pedestrian crossing prior to first occupation. This appears to be a contradiction of condition 14.

The 106 requires Crest to submit details of the controlled crossing over the A4 to Wiltshire Council prior to commencement but does not require Wiltshire Council to consult on design of the crossing.

Crest will be submitting details on the Toucan part of this crossing, then go through a design phase with WC before they start building, which may change during the process.

Only opportunity community has to comment on the design of the crossing will be as part of reserved measures application.

Final technical design part of 106 will be agreed between Crest and the highway authority without further consultation.

So all details need to be agreed now.

Parish council needs to have time to consider the precise details of the proposed crossing as part of the application.

Need to ask Wiltshire Council to re consult with the local community if any part of the design changes prior to the crossing being built.

### **Angie Cleverly (from recording)**

Neighbourhood Plan, particularly for Blounts Court has a great del of reference to the environment. When studying the plans please use the policies to create a Blounts Court we can all be proud.

### **Richard Fitter (received from Richard Fitter)**

**Permission has been granted** for housing on this site so this reserved matters application is simply concerned with the design and look of the development. Design can be a subjective matter but at the Outline stage there was a very strong consensus from all the Studley residents on one important point. This development should reflect the character and feel of Studley. Many of the original objections were based on a fear of this development urbanising our village or expanding Derry Hill to the extent that Studley would be swallowed up and lose its distinctive character.

**But it doesn't have to be that way.** There are some really great examples all around the country of new developments being built that respect the character of their host village. We should be aiming for that here too.

**The characters of Derry Hill and Studley are quite different.** Studley has retained its rural nature and this is a great opportunity to build some new homes that look and feel like part of Studley.

**So what am I on about?** What does Studley look and feel like? Well, in one regard the Crest scheme has got it about right; mainly detached dwellings, a few semi-detached and one terrace. That looks about right. But how is Studley different from Derry Hill and more like many of the smaller villages in the parish?

For starters, no two houses are the same. We don't have any street lighting or footways, in fact we hardly have any kerbs. We do have high grassy banks and most houses sit behind a stone wall or hedge, not close-board fences. Most houses have gravel drives,

some have tarmac. There certainly aren't any communal parking courts. Crest Nicholson built most of Cepen Park in Chippenham and Haydon Wick to the north of Swindon. This current layout looks quite a lot like those housing estates and not a lot like Studley.

**A great deal of time will be spent** on the design of the new houses themselves, but the things that will make these new homes really fit in to Studley will be the layout, the roads, the front gardens and gravel drives, the walls and hedges. Not just around the boundary, but throughout the development.

**When we're asked to comment** on these really important matters we mustn't accept a development that's simply 'not bad enough to refuse'. We should be building a new gateway into Studley that we can all be really pleased with. So I'm asking the Parish not to rush, to take the time to look at these matters in detail. And with a decent amount of time and effort from the local community as well, I am quite optimistic that we can achieve something really good for our village that can set the standard for any future rural development in the parish.